S. No.	Name of country	1996-97 (Qty. in LMTs.)	1997-98 (Qty. in LMTs.)
6.	QATAR	2.95	1.33
7.	ROMANIA	1.60	1.22
8.	SAUDI ARABIA	2.98	1.46
9.	UAE	1.47	1.31
	TOTAL	23.28	13.62

Statement-III

Arrival of imported fertilizers and Cost & Freight (C&F) value

Product		Quantity (Lakh MTs.)	C&F Value (US $ in million)
UREA (On Govt. account)	1994-95	28.70	507.47
	1995-96	37.82	874.41
	1996-97	23.28	472.70
	‡1997-98 (upto July97)	13.62	234.60
DAP‡‡	1994-95	8.65	NA
	1995-96	15.14	NA
	1996-97	5.34	NA
	++1997-98 (upto July-97)	7.97	NA
MOP‡‡	1994-95	18.48	NA
	1995-96	21.92	NA
	1996-97	10.21	NA
	‡1997-98 (upto July-97)	6.80	NA

‡ the date for 1997-98 is a provisional estimate.
‡‡ figures of impors of decontroled fertilizers are as available with the Department.
NA:Not available as the imports are on private account.

Hanumantha Rao Committee Report

1889. SHRI BHRAMAKUMAR BHATT: Will the Minister of CHEMICALS & FERTILIZERS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have received the Hanumantha Rao Committee Report on retention price for fertilizers units in the country;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether Government propose to formulate a new fertilizer policy on the basis of the report; and

(d) if so, by when the new policy will be finalised and laid on the Table of the House?

THE MINISTER OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) and (d) A report on the decision of the Government regarding fertilizer policy in the light of the recommendation of the Hanumantha Rao Committee would be laid in the Table of the House in due course.

Setting up of liquid sulphur terminal in Andhra Pradesh

1890. SHRI K.M. KHAN: Will the Minister of CHEMICALS & FERTILIZERS be pleased to state:

(a) whether India's first liuqid sulphur terminal has been set up in Andhra Pradesh at the cost of Rs. 10.50 crore;

(b) if so, the special features of this terminal;

(c) whether such installation has benefited the State, country and common man of Andhra Pradesh in any way; and

(d) if so, details thereof and if not, the reasons for allowing its installation?

THE MINISTER OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) to (d) Yes, Sir. M/s. Coromandel Fertilisers Limited (CFL) has set up a liquid sulphur handling terminal at Vishakhapatnam at a cost of Rs. 10.50 crore in collaboration with M/s. Mitsui & Company, Japan. This project is the first of its kind in India. The reported advantages of the project are as follows:

(i) Elimination of sulphur dust pollution;

(ii) Enhanced safety through elimination of fire hazards in and around the installations.

(iii) Improved handling efficiency and a saving of 0.5% of the material lost as dust in the unloading of solid sulphur.

(iv) Faster turn around of vessels due to speedier unloading; and

(v) Energy saving on steam generation.

Import orders for rock phosphate

1891. SHRIMATI JAYPRADA NAHATA: Will the Minister of CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it has come to the notice of Government that the public sector company "Rashtriya Chemical and Fertilizers" has been placing its import orders for rock phosphate by deliberately inflating its prices; and

(b) if so, the detais thereof and the action taken against the officials involved in this scandal?

THE MINISTER OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) and (b) In accordance with Government Policy of Ocean Transportation, Rashtriya Chemicals and Fertilizers Ltd. (RCF) has been adopting a system of floating global tenders and obtaining competitive quotations for the purhcase of rock phosphate from international suppliers both on FOB and C&F basis. The best offers received in response are also being elvaluated strictly on techno-economic considerations. However, in the case of a recent purhcase made by RCF from Office Togolais Des Phosphate (OTP) Togo, an allegation that the freight component was pitched unrealistically low for inflating the FOB price has been brought to the notice of Government. On examination, it has been found that this is, prima facie, not substantiated. Therefore, the question of initiating any action against the officials of RCF has not arisen at this stage.

Oman-India Fertilizer Project

1892. SHRI DIPANKAR MUKHERJEE:
SHRI NILOTPAL BASU:

Will the Minister of CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS be pleased to state:

(a) the date of submission of bid in response to the Notification for Invitation to Tender documents (NIT) for selection of preferred bidder for Oman-India Fertilizer Project;

(b) the date of finalisation of bid;

(c) whether one of the bidders has challenged the bid evaluation process and requested for re-evaluation; and

(d) if yes, the critical issues of the evaluation process which have been challenged and Government's reaction thereto?

THE MINISTER OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) The dates of bid submission were as follows:

Nature of bid	Date of submission
(i) Technical Bids	27.09.1995
(ii) Unpriced commercial Bids.	25.10.1995